



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/463,494	07/25/2000	MANFRED T. REETZ	STUDIEN-268-	6396
27384	7590 09/12/2005		EXAM	INER
NORRIS, MO	CLAUGHLIN & MAI	PATTERSON, C	CHARLES L JR	
18TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		1652	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/463,494	REETZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comical find the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum should be period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y will, by statute, cause the application to become a	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 July 2005</i> .				
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-47</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January</u> :	2 <i>003</i> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority		A unito stine Ale			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	of the priority documents have bee				
	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action		ot received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 09012005			

Application/Control Number: 09/463,494

Art Unit: 1652

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/18/05 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Nakanishi, et al. (N), Hirose, et al. (U-2) or Krainev, et al. (V-2) in view of Williams, et al. (A), Zhou, et al. (U), Leung, et al. (V), Cadwell, et al. (W) and Shinkai, et al. (X). (W-1) and further in view of Armstrong, et al. (U-3), Kovach, et al. (V-3), Kim, et al (U-3) and Janes, et al. (X-3). The primary and secondary references have been characterized previously. The four tertiary references, covering a period of 18 years, teach that spectrophotometry is widely used to measure the activity of hydrolases with respect to stereoselectivity or regioselectivity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the primary references that mutagenesis can be used to change the stereoselectivity of enzymes along with the teachings of the secondary references that there are various methods to mutate enzymes to form such mutants. It would have been further obvious to use spectrophotometry to screen mutant hydrolas-

Application/Control Number: 09/463,494

Art Unit: 1652

es for stereoselectivity and/or regioselectivity in view of the tertiary references, absent unexpected results.

Applicants have added the further limitation to claims 42 and 45 that the screening for stereoselectivity or regionelectivity is done by spectrophotometry. The tertiary references have been added to teach this embodiment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., PhD, whose telephone number is 571-272-0936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles L. Patterson, Jr

Page 3

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

Patterson September 2, 2005